

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,656	08/05/2003	Hubertus Marie Jozeph Mathieu Boesten	0142-0420P	5458
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 10/22/200 ART KOLASCH & BI		EXAM	IINER
PO BOX 747			KAU, STEVEN Y	
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2008	ELECTRONIC .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/633,656	BOESTEN, HUBERTUS MARIE JOZEPH MATHIEU					
,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	STEVEN KAU	2625					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>STEVEN KAU</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Mr. Michael Monaco</u> . (4)							
Date of Interview: <u>16 October 2008</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)∐ Video Conference c)∐ Personal [copy given to: 1)∐ applicant 2)∐ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>18 and 19</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Claim rejection was discussed and the examiner explained why the claims were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.</u>							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRRY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Steven Kau/	10/16/2008						
Examiner, Art Unit 2625							